

Ballot Errors Reflected in Manual Audit

State of North Carolina, November 7, 2006, General Election

	OPTICAL SCAN	Touch Screen
Total Votes Counted in Manual Audit	104,634	24,121
Total Vote Changes due to Scanner or Electronic Tabulation Errors	113	0
Errors per 1000 Votes Cast	1.1	0
Projected Errors per Million Votes Cast	1,080	0

The manual Hand-to-Eye audit was conducted on ballots cast in 1% of the precincts statewide. The manual audit applied to one contest on the statewide ballot...the nonpartisan contest between Dukes and Parker for Chief Justice of the NC Supreme Court. Two voting systems were used in the State: Optical Scan paper ballots and iVotronic touch screen with voter verifiable paper trail.

	OPTICAL SCANNER COUNT	OPTICAL SCAN HAND- TO-EYE COUNT	Vote Count Changes due to Scanning Errors
OPTICAL SCAN MANUAL AUDIT COMPARISON FOR DUKE			
Decline in votes for Duke			-12
Gain in votes for Duke			42
Total ballots reflecting differences from optical scanner tabulation			49

OPTICAL SCAN MANUAL AUDIT COMPARISON FOR PARKER			
Decline in votes for Parker			-16
Gain in votes for Parker			55
Total ballots reflecting differences from optical scanner tabulation			64

	ELECTRONIC COUNT	VPAT HAND- TO-EYE COUNT	Vote Count Changes due to Electronic Tabulation Errors
iVOTRONIC MANUAL AUDIT COMPARISON FOR DUKE			
Decline in votes for Duke due to manual audit			0
Gain in votes for Duke due to manual audit			0
Total ballots reflecting differences from optical scanner tabulation			0

iVOTRONIC MANUAL AUDIT COMPARISON FOR PARKER			
Decline in votes for Parker due to manual audit			0
Gain in votes for Parker due to manual audit			0

Total ballots reflecting differences from optical scanner tabulation			0
--	--	--	---