

2. G16 1,539 Registered Voters

Machine Count:

Rusty Duke	160
Sarah Parker	454
No Vote	<u>104</u>
Total Voters	718

G16 precinct used five iVotronic voting terminals. Of these, two produced unambiguously complete RTAL tapes. The result of the hand-to-eye audit recount of these tapes yielded the same results as did the electronic tabulation of the votes from the flash memory cards for these machines (5156658 & 5157606):

Rusty Duke	71
Sarah Parker	204
No Vote	<u>48</u>
Total Voters	323

Machine # 5159522 appeared to reflect 164 total votes while the electronic record reflected 162 votes. The manual count of the RTAL yielded 114 votes for Parker whereas the electronic tabulation for this machine reflected 112 votes for Parker. In two instances, however, the tapes for this machine were torn in the middle of voters' ballots which reflected a vote for Parker. The precinct Chief Judge reported that the tapes jammed while these two voters were voting and that the precinct officials cancelled these ballots on this machine and allowed the voters to start over in casting their ballots on another machine.

RTAL tapes for machines 5157552 and 5161139 both contained indecipherable overprinting at the end of the tapes, symptomatic of jammed printers. The legible ballot counts on these machine tapes were 89 and 135 respectively. The electronic record reflected public counts on 94 and 139 indicating paper tape deficits of 5 and 4 ballots respectively.

At the direction of the State Board of Elections, the audit log from the flash memory card for terminals V5159522, V 5157552 and V5161139 were retrieved, printed and tabulated by hand. The results of this tabulation showed the following result:

Rusty Duke	89
Sarah Parker	250
No Vote	<u>56</u>
Total Voters	385

Summing these manual results yielded the following:

Rusty Duke	160
Sarah Parker	454
No Vote	<u>104</u>
Total Voters	718

This result was in agreement with the electronic results for precinct SUM2.

Five people worked as a team in conducting the manual audit. One read the votes off the RTAL tape, 2 independently recorded tally marks as each name was called, one read the barcoded results for each vote and one monitored the results of the barcode scan as recorded on an attached laptop.

Working, with breaks... to keep from going brain dead... the team completed the audit in about 6-8 hours time over a two day period. It cost us the labor it took to complete it.